Boxes are provided to the right of each question. Only one box should be checked for each question asked. If you have any comments which you would like to make emphasizing the accuracy of the information or with reference to any information not generally covered in this format, please write them on the reverse of this page.

Response to Tasking		Res	ponses*				
Does information provided satisfy your intelligence collection requirement?	ם	YES	IN F	PART IS	( NC		
Accuracy							
Geographical location (terrain, water, river, etc.)	п	YES	IN P	PART 10	<b>a</b> Ne	D	doceStr
Large scale man-made objects (docks, silos, buildings, etc.)	- 0	YES	IN P	ART [	3 NC		NA
Small scale man-made objects (tanks, computers, antennas, etc.)	ם	YES	IN P	ART	<b>21</b> NC	D	Possible
Target ambience (research, production, administration, troop movement, etc.)		YES	IN P	ART J	NO	О	Pros
Activity (nuclear testing, CBR, SIGINT monitoring, etc.)		YES	IN P	ART			Possible
Personality (Physical, plans, actions, traits, etc.)	, E	YES	IN P	ART			TRAINIK UNKUR
<u>Utility</u>							
Please check which best describes the utility of the information provided (in		מ	VERY US	EFUL			
view of what is known at this time, understanding that additional information	•	D	USEFUL				
could raise or lower such an interim as essment at a later date)		X	MARGINA	L			
coonienc at a tater date,			NONE				
		D	CANNOT THIS		TERMI	NED	AT

<sup>\*</sup>YES - indicates a full agreement with what is  $\underline{known}$  to be fact about the target. NO - indicates a total lack of agreement with what is known to be fact about the target.

## Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000500030008-7

## Additional tasking Is additional tasking required? (If yes, please write what that tasking is on the back of the form) Did the attached information add to information derived from other intelligence sources? Did the attached information aid in tasking other intelligence resources by providing targeting information?

(Signed) SIGNATURE

Wooldridge, R.H. 65-13

(Printed) NAME, GRADE

IRS, SAB/ITAC

(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

SG1C

## Approved fineRelease 2000/08/07 : €NA-RDR96-09788R00050003000

ASSETS USED SESSION, SOURCE		KNOWLEDGE PROVIDED TO INTERVIEWER FOR SESSION	FEEDBACK TO SOURCE FOLLOWING SESSION		<u>es</u> Forwarded	KEY RESULTS PROVIDED  BY THE SOURCE	ANALYST COMMENTS
DCC-51 #27.5	a. Sealed envelope	a. #14	None	15 May 81	Summary	a. 151200 hrs at tgt:	
	SG1Aining UTM	b. Aware of simultaneous			19 May 81	1) Blunt end of	
SG1A		session against same				rocket nose cone	
		target.				2) Valley having rocky	
	b. Told that there	c. Given sealed envelope				ground and small town	
	was a U/I UTM coordin-	to give RVer				3) all military activit	<u> </u>
	ate in the envelope	d. Tald the envelope				4) "full strength"	
	c. Time coords	had UTM coordinate inside.				5) trucks and armor in	·
SG1A	]	e. Not told the UTM				defensive positions	·
	2	coordinate.				6) two back-to-back	·
	d. RVer aware of	f. Told to tell RVer that			-	white light explosions	·
	simultaneous session	target had not been worked				b. 23 May 81:	
	DCC-52, but not told	before.				1) vehicles coming	
	against same tgt.	4				together into convoy	
	e. Advised the target					2) veh. cdr camouflage	
	was one which had not	ł				green uniform	
	been targeted before		SG1A			c. 29 May 1981:	
	by this RVer					nothing left, all	
	f. RVer not told actua	1:				activity ceased.	
	coordinate.						

			CLASSIFIED BY: (JOIG 30Z JUL 78
			MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
DATE PROJECT NUMBER CLOSED:	TASKING AGENCY:	INSCOM ITAC CRD	REVIEW ON: June 2001
	***************************************		